

Lecture 27: Interpreting Scheme

A Scheme interpreter is essentially an extension of the calculator:

- A component known as the *reader* (`scheme_read`) reads Scheme values (atoms and pairs).
- Since Scheme expressions and programs are a subset of Scheme values, no further parsing is necessary.
- A function `scheme_eval` evaluates Scheme expressions.
 - Atoms are its base cases.
 - For function calls, it uses a function `scheme_apply`, as for the calculator.

Reading

- The project skeleton defines a class `Buffer` (in `buffer.py`), whose purpose is to take sequences of *tokens* (strings) and concatenate them into a single sequence in which one can either look at and, if desired, remove, one token at a time.
- These sequences of tokens come from a method `tokenize_lines` which breaks sequences of strings into tokens:

```
>>> from scheme_tokens import tokenize_lines
>>> from buffer import Buffer
>>> L = tokenize_lines(["(define x", " (+ y 3))"])
>>> b = Buffer(L)
>>> b.current()
'('
>>> b.remove_front()
'('
>>> b.remove_front()
'define'
```

scheme_read

- Finally, the function `scheme_read`, which you will complete, pulls tokens off a `Buffer` until it has a complete Scheme expression:

```
>>> from scheme_tokens import tokenize_lines
>>> from buffer import Buffer
>>> from scheme_reader import scheme_read
>>> L = tokenize_lines(["(define x", " (+ y 3))", "(define y 42)"])
>>> b = Buffer(L)
>>> scheme_read(b)
Pair('define', Pair('x', Pair(Pair('+', Pair('y', Pair(3, nil))), nil)))
>>> scheme_read(b)
Pair('define', Pair('y', Pair(42, nil)))
```

Apply

- The interpreter function `scheme_apply(func, args)` has the effect of allowing one to construct and evaluate function calls.
- It has the essentially the same effect that `func(*args)` does in Python programs.
- In the interpreter, `scheme_apply` itself has two cases:
 - Either `func` is a primitive, built-in function, in which case, its code is part of the interpreter, or
 - `func` is a user-defined function, in which case its code is stored in it as a Scheme expression, and is evaluated by `eval`.
- So there is a "recursive dance" back and forth between `scheme_eval`, and `scheme_apply`.

Evaluation for Scheme

- Simple expressions are evaluated as for the calculator.
- A Scheme expression consisting of a number simply evaluates to that number. It is *self-evaluating*.
- A function call ($E_0 E_1 \dots E_n$) is evaluated by recursively evaluating the E_i and then using `scheme_apply`.
- But Scheme has a number of other cases to handle.

Aside: accessing `scheme_eval` and `scheme_apply` in Scheme

- In full Scheme, the functions `scheme_eval` and `scheme_apply` are both available to the programmer in the form of the two built-in functions `apply` and `eval`:

```
>>> (define L '(1 2 3))
>>> (apply + L)
6
>>> (eval (list '+ 1 2) (scheme-report-environment 5))
3
>>> (eval '(+ 1 2) (scheme-report-environment 5))
3
```
- The second argument here, as for `scheme_eval`, is an environment defining symbols' values.
- In official Scheme, however, there is no way to get the current environment (the one containing your own definitions), although various implementations do provide a way.

Evaluation of Symbols

- In Scheme expressions, most symbols represent identifiers, which we did not encounter in the calculator.
- Obviously, we need more information to evaluate a symbol than just the symbol itself.
- Fortunately, we already know what's needed: an *environment*.
- Thus, to evaluate a Scheme expression, we will need both the expression itself and the environment in which to evaluate it.
- As it happens, exactly the same kind of structure as in Python—environment frames linked by parent pointers—is what we need to interpret Scheme.
- This is because Scheme uses nearly the same *scope rules* as Python does.
- Earlier dialects of Lisp, however, used a different kind of scope rule.

Static and Dynamic Scoping

- The *scope rules* of a language are the rules governing what names (identifiers) mean at each point in a program.
- We call the scope rules of Scheme (and Python)—those that are described by environment diagrams as we've been using them—*static* or *lexical* scoping.
- But in original Lisp, scoping was *dynamic*.
- Example (using classic Lisp notation):

```
(defun f (x) ;; Like (define (f x) ...) in Scheme
  (g))
(defun g ()
  (* x 2))
(let ((x 3))
  (g) ;; ==> 6 Using x from (let ((x 3)) ...)
  (f 2) ;; ==> 4 Using x from (defun f (x) ...)
  (g) ;; ==> 6 Using x from (let ((x 3)) ...)
```
- That is, the meaning of `x` depends on the most recent and still active definition of `x`, even where the reference to `x` is not nested inside the defining function.

Remaining Cases

- We've dealt with function calls, numbers, and symbols.
- This leaves only the *special forms*.
- All special forms lists indicated by their first symbols:

```
(quote EXPR) ; Easy: return EXPR unchanged

(lambda (ARGS) EXPR)
(define ID EXPR)
(define (ID ARGS) EXPR)
; Same as (define ID (lambda (ARGS) EXPR))

(if EXPR EXPR-IF-TRUE EXPR-IF-FALSE)
(begin EXPR1 ... EXPRn) ; Evaluate all EXPRi, return last
(cond ( (COND-EXPR1 VAL-EXPR1)
        (COND-EXPR2 VAL-EXPR2) ... )
      )
(and EXPR1 EXPR2 ...)
(or EXPR1 EXPR2 ...)
```

Lambda and Functions

- In the interpreter, evaluating the lambda special form returns a value of some type for representing functions.
- Its content is dictated by what `scheme_apply` will need:
`(lambda (ARGS) EXPR)`
 - The list `ARGS`.
 - The body `EXPR`.
 - The parent environment: The environment in which the lambda expression or `define` that created the function value was evaluated.

Other Special Forms

- Handling the other special forms is pretty straightforward:
- The `if` form is typical: to evaluate

```
(if EXPR EXPR-IF-TRUE EXPR-IF-FALSE)
```

- Evaluate `EXPR`.
- If returned value is false (`#f`), evaluate `EXPR-IF-FALSE` and return its value.
- Otherwise, evaluate `EXPR-IF-TRUE` and return its value.

Getting Iteration via Recursion to Work

- The interpreter so far uses recursion to get Scheme recursion.
- Doesn't work for long iterations (stack memory overflow).
- As an optional problem, you'll have the chance to complete the *tail-recursion optimization*, where tail calls use (in effect) iteration instead.

What's the Problem?

- Let's look at a very simple tail-recursive loop in Scheme and a call:

```
(define (adder so-far n)
  ; Return SO-FAR + 1 + 2 + 3 + ... + N.
  (if (<= n 0) so-far (adder (+ so-far n) (- n 1))))
(adder 0 2000)
```

- As currently described, our interpreter takes the following steps (indentation shows depth of calls):

```
scheme_eval of (adder 0 2000), which returns
scheme_apply [adder] to [0, 2000], which returns
  scheme_eval of (adder 2000 1999), which returns
    scheme_apply [adder] to [2000, 1999], which calls
      scheme_eval of (adder 3999 1998), which returns
        scheme_apply [adder] to [3999, 1998]
        etc.
```

where [adder] denotes the function value

```
(lambda (so-far n) (if (<= n 0) so-far (adder (+ so-far n) (- n 1))))
```

- You can see this rapidly gets out of hand. What to do?

Tail Contexts

- In this function:

```
(define (f x)
  (displayln x)
  (if (> x 0)
      (begin (displayln '+) (* x 2))
      (- x)))
```

we say that the expressions

```
* (if (> x 0) (begin (displayln '+) (* x 2)) (- x))
* (begin (displayln '+) (* x 2))
* (* x 2)
* (- x)
```

are in *tail contexts*, because if they are evaluated, their values provide the values of the constructs that contain them.

- (The Scheme construct $(\text{begin } E_1 E_2 \dots E_n)$ simply evaluates each E_i in turn and produces the result of E_n as its value.)

Tail Contexts (II)

```
(define (f x)
  (displayln x)
  (if (> x 0)
      (begin (displayln '+) (* x 2))
      (- x)))
```

- The expressions

```
* (> x 0)
* (displayln '+)
```

are *not* in tail contexts.

- After they produce their values, some other computation produces the value of the construct that contains them.

Crucial Observation

- Consider the functions

```
(define (first x) (some-stuff) (second (+ x 1)) (other-stuff))
(define (second y) (third y))
(define (third z) (* z 2))
```

- The call of third is in a tail context in second.
- Suppose we call `(first 1)`. Normally, second would call third, which would call `*`.
- But suppose instead that somehow second persuaded first to *replace* its evaluation of `(second 2)` with an evaluation of `(third y)`, but using the local environment set up for the call to second (with $y=2$).
- Since the call to third is in a tail context, this replacement must produce the same value as the call to second.
- We call this *tail-call optimization*: we have effectively removed the call to second, so the call only goes two deep, rather than three.
- In fact, by repeating the process, we can have first replace the calls to second and third with the evaluation of `(* z 2)` in a local environment with $z=2$.

Tail-Call Optimization of Tail Recursions

- Let's revisit

```
(define (adder so-far n)
  ; Return SO-FAR + 1 + 2 + 3 + ... + N.
  (if (<= n 0) so-far (adder (+ so-far n) (- n 1))))
(adder 0 2000)
```

- Now evaluation can proceed something like this:

- We call `scheme_eval` on `(adder 0 2000)` in the global environment.
- It tells us to instead call `scheme_eval` on

```
(if (<= n 0) so-far (adder (+ so-far n) (- n 1)))
```

 in an environment with `so-far=0, n=2000`.
- That eventually tells us to call `scheme_eval` on

```
(if (<= n 0) so-far (adder (+ so-far n) (- n 1)))
```

 in an environment with `so-far=2000, n=1999`.
- And so forth.
- We (i.e., the implementation) don't have to keep track of a whole stack of active recursive function calls.

Tail-Call Optimization in the Project

- As an optional problem, you can make your project do this optimization so that your interpreter will run iterations of arbitrary length.
- Our device for "persuading" `scheme_eval` to replace a call with a different expression is to have it return a special value (of class `Unevaluated`) that contains an expression that was in a tail context, plus the environment for evaluating that expression.
- If `scheme_eval` gets back an `Unevaluated` object, and needs a real value, it can simply call itself on the expression and environment in that object.